

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,317	01/02/2004	Patrick Joseph Brooks	AUS920030294US1	2020
37945 7590 05/04/2009 DUKE W. YEE YEE AND ASSOCIATES, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			TANG, KENNETH	
P.O. BOX 802 DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2000	EL ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptonotifs@yeeiplaw.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/751.317 BROOKS ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KENNETH TANG 2195 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KENNETH TANG. (3) (2) Neil G. Ferrari (Reg. No. 61,484). (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 29 April 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 20. Identification of prior art discussed: NA. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested quidance on how the claims could be further limitted to overcome the rejection. Examiner could not determine allowable subject matter without further searching but suggested Applicant to further limit the "different types of resources" feature of the invention with positive and nonobvious claimed limitations. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.